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ABSTRACT

In previous research, cotton growth and yield was not affected by glufosinate applications made at different growth stages (cotyledon to 50% open boll), at various rates (0.36 to 2.88 lb ai/A), and in sequential applications (0-1, 3-4, 9-10, and 14-15 mainstem leaves). The objectives of this research were evaluate weed management systems in glufosinate-tolerant cotton, compare the glufosinate-tolerant cotton weed management system to glyphosate- and bromoxynil-tolerant cotton weed management systems, and confirm season-long cotton tolerance to glufosinate. Experiments were conducted in 2000 at the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station located near Lubbock on an Acuff clay loam soil with 0.8% organic matter and pH 7.8. Herbicides were applied using a backpack or tractor-mounted compressed air sprayer that delivered 10 GPA at 3 MPH using 80015 spray tips. In the weed control experiment, cotton was planted on 40-inch rows on May 8. Varieties included glufosinate-tolerant Coker 312, PM2326RR, and BXN47. Treatments with each variety included: trifluralin PPI at 0.75 lb ai/A followed by (fb) prometryn PRE at 1.2 lb ai/A fb cultivation, trifluralin PPI fb a POST herbicide as needed (ASN), prometryn PRE fb a POST herbicide ASN, trifluralin PPI fb prometryn PRE fb a POST herbicide ASN, and a POST herbicide only ASN. The POST herbicides used were glufosinate 0.36 lb ai/A in glufosinate-tolerant cotton, glyphosate at 0.75 lb ae/A in glyphosate-tolerant cotton, and bromoxynil at 0.5 lb ai/A in bromoxynil-tolerant cotton. Control of Palmer amaranth (Amaranthus palmeri), devil’s-claw (Proboscidea louisianica), and silverleaf nightshade (Solanum elaeagnifolium) was monitored throughout the growing season and dictated the POST ASN applications. In the tolerance test, trifluralin PPI at 0.75 lb ai/A was applied to control annual grasses and Palmer amaranth. Cotton was planted on 40-inch rows on June 6 and kept weed-free throughout the season. Glufosinate was applied to cotton at three growth stages (1 to 2 leaf, 4 leaf, and peak bloom), at 3 rates (0.36, 0.72, and 1.44 lb ai/A), and in individual or sequential applications.

In the glufosinate-tolerant cotton weed management systems, weed pressure on May 24 dictated a glufosinate application in the prometryn fb POST and POST only treatments. Weed pressure in all treatments dictated glufosinate applications on June 6 and June 21. On July 20 (73 DAP), the glufosinate only treatment controlled Palmer amaranth 79%, whereas all other treatments controlled Palmer amaranth 83 to 89%. At this same rating date, the glufosinate treatments controlled devil’s-claw and silverleaf nightshade 81 to 85%, whereas the trifluralin fb prometryn fb cultivation treatment controlled devil’s-claw 61% and silverleaf nightshade 75%. The trifluralin fb glufosinate and trifluralin fb prometryn fb glufosinate required 2 in-season applications, whereas the prometryn fb glufosinate and glufosinate only treatments required 3 in-season applications. Similar lint yields were observed from all glufosinate-treated plots. When compared to the POST only treatments in the other weed management systems, glufosinate controlled Palmer amaranth (79%), devil’s-claw (81%), and silverleaf nightshade (81%) more effectively than the control achieved from the bromoxynil only treatment (54%, 73%, and 73% control for Palmer amaranth, devil’s-claw, and silverleaf nightshade, respectively) and similar to the control achieved from the glyphosate only treatment (85%, 80%, and 80% control for Palmer amaranth, devil’s-claw, and silverleaf nightshade, respectively). All POST only herbicide treatments were applied 3 times during the growing season, whereas the trifluralin fb POST ASN treatment required only 2 POST applications. Unlike previous years, slight visual injury (leaf necrosis) was observed 7 days after most glufosinate applications. Yields following all glufosinate applications did not differ from the untreated control.

These studies confirm season-long tolerance of glufosinate tolerant cotton. Control of Palmer amaranth, devil’s-claw, and silverleaf nightshade can be achieved using a glufosinate in a glufosinate-tolerant cotton weed management system. Other cotton varieties containing the glufosinate tolerance gene will be tested in 2001 as well as work to further examine the use of glufosinate in a glufosinate-tolerant cotton weed management system.