

MANAGING FURROW IRRIGATION SYSTEMS

Danny H. Rogers Extension Agricultural Engineer

Cooperative Extension Service Manhattan, Kansas

Reprinted from Neb-Guide G91-1021 University of Nebraska-Lincoln Proper furrow irrigation practices can minimize water application, irrigation costs, and chemical leaching, and can result in higher crop yields.

Irrigating the entire field as quickly as possible is often the goal of a furrow irrigator. Often irrigators are satisfied just to get the water to the end of the furrows, but consideration should be given to how much water is being applied and how it is distributed.

The number of gates opened or tubes set-the set size -has a significant impact on how fast the water advances across the field and the amount of water being applied. Set size should change during the season and between years to match changing soil intake conditions. Operating too few gates or tubes and using a long set time can result in a large amount of runoff; however, operating too many gates or tubes can result in slow water advance, causing poor water distribution and deep percolation losses (Figure 1 a). These conditions result in reduced irrigation efficiency.

Efficient irrigation is obtained by almost filling the effective crop root zone each irrigation, applying water uniformly (Figure 1 a), and by either minimizing or utilizing runoff. For furrows, runoff and the uniformity of the water infiltrated along the furrow are related to soil intake rate and the irrigator's management practices.

EVALUATING AND CHANGING CURRENT PRACTICES

The correct amount of water to apply at each irrigation depends on the amount of soil water used by the plants between irrigations, the water-holding capacity of the soil, and the depth of the crop roots. The rate at which water goes into the soil varies from one irrigation to the next and from season to season. One common problem in furrow irrigation is that too much water is applied, especially during the first irrigation.

In general, apply water when the crop has used about one-half of the available water capacity in the root zone.

When applying water, don't completely fill or overfill the root zone. Overfilling leaches chemicals, such as nitrate-nitrogen; wastes water; and increases costs. Leave room in the soil for storing about one-half to one inch of rainfall that might occur soon after you irrigate.

Corn is furrowed for irrigation when it is about 24 to 30 inches high. At this stage the roots have penetrated about 18 to 24 inches into the soil, so irrigation water should not be applied deeper than 18 inches. During a normal season in Kansas, precipitation has replenished the soil profile below this depth



1a. Poor uniformity - adjust stream size and set time.



Figure 1. Infiltration patterns with furrow irrigation.

and additional moisture is not needed for plant development. Usually, on medium-textured soils, 1.5 to 2.0 inches of water is all that is necessary to replenish the soil moisture in the top 18 to 24 inches of soil.

To evaluate present practices, estimate the gross depth and uniformity of application. The gross depth of water being applied can be as follows:

Stream size (gpm* per furrow) = <u>Pump discharge (gpm)</u> <u>set size (number of furrows)</u>

Gross depth of applied water (inches)= $\frac{1155 \text{ x S x H}}{\text{L x D}}$

Where: S = Stream Size (gpm/furrow) H = Hours & water applied L = Length of furrow (feet) D = Distance between furrows (inches) *gpm = gallon per minute

For example, consider the following situation:

Pump producing 750 gpm Set size (number of furrows) = 100 Stream size = $\frac{750 \text{ gpm}}{100}$ = 7.5 gpm per furrow

> Water is applied for 12 hours Rows are 1320 feet long Disante between watered furrows is 30 inches

Gross depth applied = $\frac{1155 \times 7.5 \times 12}{1320 \times 30}$ = 2.6 inches

Knowing this information will help you make better management decisions and improve the overall performance of your irrigation system. In general, to avoid completely refilling the root zone in sandy textured soils, gross application amounts should not exceed 1.5 to 2 inches. On medium to fine textured soils they should not exceed 2.5 to 3 inches.

Applying the right amount of water to your irrigation set does not guarantee efficient irrigation. Water also must be uniformly applied from one end of the irrigation run (field) to the other. Crop yields can be reduced on both ends of the field if one end receives too much water and the other end receives too little water.

SET TIME-STREAM SIZE

Select a stream size appropriate for the slope, intake rate, and length of run. Runoff and the uniformity of water infiltrated along the furrow are related to the cutoff ratio. This is the ratio of the time required for water advance to the end of the furrow to the total set time used for the irrigation. A cutoff ratio of 0.5 is desired. For example, for a 12-hour set time, the advance time should be about six hours. The easiest way to change the advance time is by altering the furrow stream size, i.e. by changing the size of the irrigation set. This will affect the cutoff ratio and hence the uniformity of water application.

When selecting the furrow stream size, consider furrow erosion. Use a furrow stream that does not cause serious erosion. In general, the maximum non-erosive stream size decreases as furrow slope increases.

The stream size selected should be less than the value given in Table 1, but still large enough to obtain relatively uniform water application. With the proper cutoff ratio and gross application, you can achieve uniform water application and minimize deep percolation and runoff. Try different combinations of furrow stream size and set time. The best combination is the one which moves water to the end of the furrow within the requirements of the cutoff ratio, is less than the maximum erosive stream size, and results in gross applications that are not excessive. Table 1. Maximum furrow stream tominimize erosion for various slopes(from the Soil Conservation Service).

Slope	Stream Size	
(%) 0.20 0.40 0.75 1.25	(gpm) 50.0 30.0 17.0 10.0	

For example, consider the following situation:

System flow = 760 gpm 80 gates opened Set time = 24 hours Advance time = 18 hours (from observation) Furrow stream size = 9.5 gpm/furrow (760 \div 80) Furrow length = 2600 feet Furrow spacing (distance between watered furrows) = 30 inches Soil = silt loam Current cutoff ratio = 0.75 (i.e. 18 \div 24)

Two items need to be evaluated. First, the cutoff ratio is too high and should be reduced from 0.75 to 0.50. Secondly, the gross water applied is slightly excessive. It is calculated by:

> Gross depth applied = $\frac{1155 \times 9.5 \times 24}{2600 \times 30}$ = 3.4 inches



Figure 2. Graph for determining proper set size.

One way of reducing the gross application is to reduce set time. In this example, we will increase the rate of advance by increasing the furrow stream size and decreasing gross water applied by reducing the set time to 12 hours. Use Figure 2 to determine the number of furrows to irrigate for different advance times.

For silt loam soils, 2.9 inches gross depth is within the allowable range. Also, if the furrow slope is less than 0.75 percent, the 16.5 gpm stream size is within non-erosive limits.

In this example, we have demonstrated 1) how to improve the uniformity of irrigation by reducing the cutoff ratio; and 2) how to reduce the gross depth of application by reducing irrigation set time.

LENGTH OF RUN

Irrigation runs which are too long result in water being lost by deep percolation at the head of the furrow by the time the lower end is adequately irrigated.

The length of irrigation runs should not exceed 600 feet on sandy soils and about 1300 feet on clay soils. However, on some low intake rate soils, the length of run maybe as long as 2600 feet and water should still be distributed uniformly between the upper and lower end of the field.

The time required for advance increases dramatically with furrow length. This is illustrated in Figure 3. Here, the time to advance water 2600 feet is three times longer than the time for 1300 feet. Thus, if you have a problem getting rows through in a reasonable length of time (as determined by the cutoff ratio) and you are using the maximum allowable nonerosive stream size, shortening the row length is an alternative for reducing advance time.

INTAKE RATES

The rate at which water penetrates into the soil varies with the steepness of slope, soil texture, spacing of furrows, and soil compaction. The rate at which soil will absorb water varies with time. At first, water will penetrate rapidly into the soil, but within one or two hours it will decrease to a rate which stays relatively consistent for the remainder of the irrigation. This fairly consistent rate is called basic intake rate. If the basic intake rate is 0.5 inches per hour or less, the length of run can be at least 1300 feet long. Higher intake rates require shorter water runs.

EVERY OTHER FURROW IRRIGATION

When irrigation is required it becomes important to irrigate the entire field as quickly as possible. Irrigating every other furrow will supply water to one side of each row. The result is applying water to more acres than irrigating every furrow from a given water source in a given time. Irrigating every other furrow is often beneficial on soils with high infiltration rates and low water-holding capacities.

Often, irrigators encounter higher soil intake rates during the first irrigation. This can result in applying more water during the first irrigation than in subsequent irrigations and requires more hours to irrigate a field from a given water supply. Another consideration is the ability to store rainfall in a soil that was recently irrigated. If water has been applied to every furrow, the entire root zone may have been refilled to field capacity prior to rainfall. Irrigating every other furrow and applying less water per irrigation may provide more storage space within the root zone for rainfall.

Figure 4 shows the lateral and downward infiltration of water for two soil types where every other furrow is irrigated. When the watered furrow spacing is too wide, there will be a dry area in between the furrows and the crop may not get enough water. The distance between watered furrows should never exceed 6 feet.

Research indicates that fields irrigated in every other furrow have yields which compare closely to fields with every furrow irrigation. Table 2 shows corn yields on various soil textures when irrigating every furrow and every other furrow with a manually operated surface irrigation system with 12 hour irrigation sets.

Recommended Changes	Current Example	Your Example
Desired cutoff ratio =	0.50	
Thus, new advance time = i.e. (0.5×12)	6 hrs.	
Time Ratio = new time \div		
old time = $6 \div 18 =$	0.33	
From Figure 2 find furrow ratio=	0.58	
New number of gates= old number of gates x furrow		
ratio = 80 x 0.58 =	46	<u> </u>
New furrow stream size rate =		
$760 \div 46 =$	16.5 gpm	
New gross depth applied = 1155		
$x 16.5 x 12 \div 2600 \div 30 =$	2.9 inches	



Figure 3. Example of advance of water across the field



Figure 4. Wetting patterns from irrigated furrows

Table 2. Corn yields on various soil textures when irrigating every furrow and every other furrow with a manually operated surface irrigation system with 12-hour irrigation sets.

Soil	Every furrow	Every- other furrow (same) (Every- other furrow (alternate)
-	bu/acre		
Albaton-clay loam	157	154	
Luton-silty clay loam	152	159	
Crete-silty clay loam	153	156	_
Holdrege-silt loam	179	177	174
Sarpy-sandy loam	140	143	_
Ortello-loamy sand	118	119	120
O'Neill-loamy sand	114	107	_

Irrigation water application may be reduced 20 to 30 percent by implementing every other furrow irrigation.

L-913

Infiltration is not reduced by one-half compared to watering every furrow because of increased lateral infiltration.

Plant nutrient availability may be hindered in the dry rows when irrigating every other furrow. This is especially important in dryer years. To improve the availability of these nutrients, the irrigator can alternate the wet and dry furrows for each irrigation.

Irrigating in every other furrow should not be used on steep slopes or on soils with low intake rates. On steep slopes, the water flowing down the furrow is in contact with only a limited amount of soil surface, causing low intake rates.

REUSE

Recirculating irrigation runoff water is a method of making more effective use of irrigation water and labor. Reuse of runoff water decreases the amount of water that needs to be pumped or delivered and can be used to improve water application efficiencies by approximately 20 percent.

Reuse systems are essential for efficient surface irrigation. Growers who don't have reuse systems often cut the stream size in the furrow to a very small flow in order to minimize runoff, possibly causing an uneven water distribution pattern.

The economic value of runoff water often will be the deciding factor in installing a reuse system. However, irrigation runoff is prohibited by law in Kansas. Reuse of irrigation runoff water often is more feasible than the use of additional labor to accomplish efficient irrigation and yet prohibit runoff.

OTHER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR FURROW IRRIGATION

A relatively new technique for managing furrow irrigation is called surge flow irrigation. With this technique, water is applied intermittently, through the use of an automatic valve, rather than continuously to the irrigation furrows. This method frequently reduces both runoff and water infiltration. For more information, KSU Extension bulletin, L-912, Surge Irrigation.

Irrigation scheduling is always important for good water management. With furrow irrigation, it is particularly useful so that irrigations are not started too early. Irrigating too soon leads to deep percolation losses due to infiltrated depths that exceed the soil moisture deficits. The following KSU Extension bulletins provide useful information for properly timing water applications: L-914 Scheduling Irrigation Using ET for Furrow Irrigation. L-795 Soil Water Measurements: An Aid to Irrigation Water Management, L-904 Soil Water Plant Relationships.

Neb-Guide G91-1021 Authors:

- Dean E. Eisenhauer, Associate Professor, Biological Systems Engineering
- David L. Varner, Extension Agent, Lancaster County, Neb.
- C. Dean Yonts, Extension Irrigation Engineer, Panhandle Research and Extension Center

Wayne Liesemeyer, State Irrigation Engineer, Soil Conservation Service

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Cooperative State Research Service under Agreement No. 93-34296-8454. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE, MANHATTAN, KANSAS

July 1995 Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University. County Extension councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Richard D. Wootton, Associate Director, AI I educational program and materials available without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability. MS 5-95-3M