FOCUS on South Plains Agriculture A newsletter from the Texas AgriLife

Research and Extension Center at Lubbock



Volume 50 number 15

28 September 2011

http://lubbock.tamu.edu/focus

Weeds	3
Local Pigweed Resistant to Roundup	3

Weeds

LOCAL PIGWEED RESISTANT TO ROUNDUP

By Peter Dotray and Wayne Keeling

It is nearly impossible today to pick up a trade magazine or read a weed management article online without seeing some discussion on the development of herbicide -resistant weeds. To date, there are 365 resistant weed biotypes in over 460,000 fields worldwide. At least 21 weeds (13 in the US and an additional 8 worldwide) have been confirmed to be resistant to Roundup (http:// www.weedscience.org/in.asp). Unfortunately, the Texas High Plains may no longer be immune to the Roundup-resistant weed problem. In recent years we have received phone calls about the possibility of weed resistance, but other issues such as herbicide rate, carrier volume, spray coverage, weed size, and overall harsh environmental conditions were noted as the likely cause. In 2011, the extreme heat, drought, low relative humidity, and relentless winds were likely major contributors to subpar product performance. We were alerted to a couple of fields in Terry County in early August where Palmar amaranth (a.k.a. pigweed or carelessweed) had survived multiple glyphosate applications at full labeled rates and even "spray to wet" backpack applications. Soil samples were collected from areas where weeds had recently been hoed, and these were potted in the greenhouse. Palmer amaranth plants that emerged from the field soil were sprayed with "field rates and excessive field rates" once they reached 2- to 3-inches in height and several survivors were observed. Preliminary greenhouse results indicated that glyphosateresistant weeds were present in these fields. Additional greenhouse experiments are underway from these field sources and from additional fields that have been sampled where we suspect resistance issues.

One of the main reasons for the selection of herbicide-resistant weeds is the heavy and sometimes sole reliance on a single herbicide "mode of action" to control weeds over the course of the growing season and over several years. Growers on the Texas High Plains have done a good job using different modes-of-action, different weed management strategies to control weeds, and not relying on Roundup as the only means of weed control. Although the amount of cultivation has declined for understandable reasons, we still see plowing and cultivation as an effective strategy against the development of herbicide resistant weeds and simply breaking up weed cycles associated with High Plains crops. Interestingly, all of the fields we looked at this year where weed resistance is suspected were planted in cover crops under "minimum tillage". There are obvious benefits to minimum tillage, but these systems typically rely more heavily on herbicides (Roundup in this case).

We have spent a considerable amount of time talking about "prevention" strategies over the past several years. If we assume Roundup resistance is present in some of our fields in west Texas, how do "management" strategies differ from "prevention" strategies? We need to implement an aggressive weed resistance management strategy that includes the use of different herbicide "modes-of-action" over the course of the growing season. This includes the use of preplant

incorporated herbicides, preemergence herbicides at-planting, postemergence herbicides with residual weed activity, and herbicides applied layby. Ideally, the timing of additional herbicide inputs should overlap, so before one "plays out", the other is in place to control later-emerging weeds.

We see benefits of using other "mode-of-action" herbicides as an important part of successful weed management and as an effective weed-resistance strategy. One of the key herbicide timings with an alternative mode-of-action is the use of preplant herbicides. Effective preplant weed control will conserve soil moisture, allow planting operations to occur without the interference of weeds, and help to provide the critical early-season weed-free periods for the first six to eight weeks after crop emergence. One of the major challenges of using herbicides preplant is to ensure that herbicide activity in soil will not reduce crop germination and emergence. A second challenge is to select the proper herbicide(s) for the weeds that need to be controlled. Cultivation is an effective weed resistance tool as well.

What should growers be thinking about today, in late September? Producers should be proactive and closely monitoring fields, destroying suspicious weeds as soon as possible. This will limit the production of additional resistant seed and help prevent the problem from becoming more widespread next year. Producers also need to be aware that weed seeds can travel with equipment from one area of the field to another, and from field to field. If you have fields where you suspect resistant weeds may be present, do not transport equipment from a weedy field to a clean field without carefully cleaning the equipment. If you have custom harvester moving into one of your fields, make sure it has been cleaned first!

Roundup is still a very effective herbicide in our region, but weed resistance will certainly change our current weed management strategies. Start clean with tillage or preplant "knock down" herbicides. Use residual herbicides preplant, preemergence, and postemergence with alternative modes-of action. Control all weed escapes so seed are not produced and returned to the soil. Use good field hygiene practices to ensure weed are not transported from isolated spots in the field and from field to field.

photos follow on the next two pages



Mature Palmer amaranth that survived previous Roundup applications



Palmer amaranth that survived previous Roundup applications and hand hoeing



Healthy, potentially resistant Palmer amaranth alongside dead and injured plants



Female Palmer amaranth plants can produce up to 600,000 seeds that easily shatter to the ground

FOCUS on South Plains Agriculture

Fair use policy

We do not mind if others use the information in FOCUS for their own purposes, but please give the appropriate credit to FOCUS on South Plains Agriculture when you do. Extension personnel that want to reprint parts of this newsletter may do so and should contact us for a word processor version. Images may or may not be copyrighted by the photographer or an institution. They may not be reproduced without permission. Call 806-746-6101 to determine the copyright status of images.

Editors David Kerns and Patrick Porter, Co-editors

SEND US A COMMENT BY E-MAIL

Contributing Authors

Mark Kelley, Cotton Agronomist (MSK), David Kerns (DLK), Extension Entomologist, Patrick Porter (RPP), Extension Entomologist, Calvin Trostle (CT), Extension Agronomist, Jason Woodward (JW), Extension Plant Pathologist.

Useful Web Links

Texas High Plains ET Network, Water Management Website, TAMU, Irrigation at Lubbock, IPM How-To Videos, Lubbock Center Homepage, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Home, Texas Cooperative Extension Home, Plains Cotton Growers

County IPM Newsletters

Castro/Lamb, Dawson/Lynn, <u>Crosby/Floyd</u>, Gaines, Hale/Swisher, Hockley/Cochran, Lubbock, Nolan/ Scurry/Mitchell/Jones, Parmer/Bailey, Terry/Yoakum





Educational programs conducted by TexasAgriLife Extension serve people of all ages, regardless of socio-economic level, race, color, sex, religion, handicap or national origin. References to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by Texas AgriLife Extension is implied.